WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

&

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J) The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>OA – 190 of 2018</u>

Nilanian Choudhury -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.

	Nilanjan Choudhury –Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary
	For the Applicants: Mr. M.N. Roy,	3
9	Advocate.	
10.12.2019	1 Int Conte	
	For the Respondents: Mr. S. Ghosh,	
	Mr. R.K. Mondal,	
	Advocates.	
	The instant application has been filed praying for	
	following reliefs:	
	"(a) An order do issue setting thereby aside and/or	
	quashing the entire Charge Sheet bearing	
	Memorandum No. 2335-WT / 12E - 10/2014 dated	
	9.6.2014, Enquiry report Findings of the	
	Disciplinary Authority including the punishment	
	order imposed upon your Applicant by the	
	respondent authorities vide their Memo. No. 3p;	
	8/1(9)-WT /12E - 10/2014 dated 30.06.2017 including	
	the non-consideration of the Review application	
	made by your Applicant 08th.August,2017 followed	
	by subsequent memo. No. PVD/553/2/G dated	
	10.8.2017;	
	(b) An order do issue directing the respondent	
	authorities to Forthwith Set aside the	
	PUNISHMENT ORDER imposed upon your	
	Applicant/C.O.	
	(c) An order do issue directing the respondent	

	Nilanjan Choudhury
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
	authorities to transmit all the relevant records	
	pertaining to this instant case so that the	
	conscionable justice may kindly be rendered to your	
	applicant."	
	As per the applicant, while he was posted at Barasat	
	R.T.O. as Motor Vehicle Inspector, he was charge sheeted	
	on 09.06.2014 on the allegation that while working as	
	Motor Vehicle Inspector (Non -Technical) during the period	
	from 01.02.2007 to 30.11.2009, he registered six vehicles on	
	16.04.2009 and one vehicle on 21.04.2009 at the office of	
	Regional Transport Officer, North 24-parganas at Barasat.	
	It has been alleged that he did not enter the	
	pollution/emission standards of those vehicles in database	
	with ulterior motive at the time of registration though it is	
	mandatory. Therefore, such non-action on his part has been	
	treated as irregularities. Thus he was charge sheeted	
	(Annexure 'A').	
	In reply to this charge sheet, the applicant had	
	submitted detail reply stating mainly referring the	
	following:	
	(1) All these vehicles as mentioned in the charge sheet were	
	allowed for change of address but not for registration as	
	alleged. Therefore, as per the Section 49 of M.V. Act read	

Form No.		

Nuanjan	Choudhury	

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA – 19</u>	<u>0 of 2018</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	with rule CMVR, 1989 mentioning of pollution standard	
	was not mandatory. However, it is mandatory for the	
	purpose of registration. But in this case, he did not register	
	the vehicle but only allowed the change of address.	
	(2) As per the charge sheet, the applicant has violated the	
	Notification No. TS-331/1(6)/3(vi)-18/2010 dt. 13.05.2010	
	of Transport Department, Government of West Bengal.	
	From the above, it would be clear that the said	
	Notification is not applicable as he had allowed the change	
	of address in the year 2009.	
	(3) In VAHAN database, a data of a vehicle by entry DA	
	through a specified model designed by NIC and there are	
	some specific fields in the module which cannot be left blank	
	during data entry. If it is mandatory that means leaving	
	some specific fields blanks or without any information, it is	
	not possible to complete data entry and also further of such	
	datas. Therefore, the "Emission Standard of Vehicle"	
	information was not mandatory for data entry in VAHAN	
	as alleged in the charge sheet. Therefore, according to the	
	applicant, the charges are vague and thus it is not amounts	
	to misconduct. During the course of the hearing, it has been	
	further submitted by the counsel for the applicant that even	
	no enquiry report has been served upon him however,	
I		

	Nilanjan Choudhury
form No.	

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA - 190 of 2018

Case No. <u>OA – 190</u>	<u>0 of 2018</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	disciplinary authority has inflicted punishment of	
	withholding of one annual increment for a period of one	
	year as well as promotion which according to the counsel for	
	the applicant is also not valid as held by this Tribunal vide	
	order dated 07.09.2018 passed in O.A. No. 651/2016	
	However, it has been submitted that since the applicant is a	
	Group - A officer, even the punishment order has been	
	issued by the Principal Secretary, Transport Department	
	who is not a competent authority though charge sheet has	
	been issued by the Governor, therefore, as per the counsel	
	for the applicant, the entire disciplinary proceeding is bad in	
	law and liable to be quashed and set aside.	
	The counsel for the respondent has submitted that	
	disciplinary proceeding has been rightly proceeded and	
	punishment has been imposed.	
	We have heard both the parties and perused the	
	records. It is noted that the applicant has been charge	
	sheeted only on the allegation that he did not put	
	pollution/emission standards in the database, which is	
	mandatory for registration, whereas the applicant in his	
	reply has categorically submitted that he had allowed the	
	change of address but not done the registration of those	
	vehicles, which were even BS II standard vehicles at that	

Nilanjan Choudhury	

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 190 of 2018</u>

Form No.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	point of time. There was no such mandatory provision to	
	put the emission standard in the database in the year 2009	
	as the Notification was issued in 2010 only. It is also	
	observed that though applicant is a Group - A officer but	
	the impugned order was passed by the Principal Secretary	
	by way of imposing punishment of penalty withholding of	
	one annual increment for a period of one year along with	
	debarment of promotion during this period. Further while	
	imposing punishment, the reply of the applicant was not	
	considered. Therefore, the punishment order is also cryptic	
	in nature.	
	In view of the above, in our considered opinion, the	
	entire proceeding has been vitiated as the reply of the	
	applicant has not been controverted by the department	
	which is deemed to treated as admission on their part and in	
	that case when mandatory provision in putting the emission	
	standard data in the database and that too in the year 2009	
	whereas the Notification had come up in 2010 the change of	
	address took place in 2009. In our considered view, the	
	entire proceeding is liable to be quashed and set aside.	
	Accordingly, we set aside the charge sheet, inquiry report	
	and punishment order. The respondents are directed to	
	refund back the amount as deducted for stoppage of	

Nilanjan Choudhury

orm No
orm No

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

se No. <u>OA – 190</u> Serial No. and		unal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.		2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1			3
	increment within a period of six weeks from the date of		
	receipt of the order. Accordingly, the O.A. is disposed of		
	with the above observations and directions with no order as		
	to cost.		
	P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER (A)	URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (J)	
A.K.P.			